

DIVERSE ACADEMIES LEARNING PARTNERSHIP ANNUAL DELIVERY PLAN 2017-2018

KPA 1 Summary

KPA 1 Executive Lead: Debbie Clinton (DLC) Acting CEO

Corporate Provision

- Review DALP strategic vision, core values and their strength and depth across the MAT
- · Complete deep dive into diversity and its strength and depth across the MAT
- Review DALP growth strategy including pace and range link to 6 below
- Produce revised strategic vision for DALP 2018-2024
- Meet DALP growth targets for 2017-2018
- Secure foundations for 'DALP 2' and, possibly, 'DALP 3'
- Develop and secure DALP regional hubs and clusters
- Review local governance further in light of above bullet
- Support, challenge and improve a 'struggling' MAT as identified by the RSC
- Establish and secure the DALP Institute of Leadership and Professional Development (ILPD)
- Establish a corporate L&M succession plan with associated professional development opportunities
- Review and develop the executive PA function across the group
- Ensure that DALP is fully prepared for a full MAT inspection in all dimensions
- TPPs Corporate

Objective 1: Review our DALP strategic vision, core values and their strength and depth across the MAT

Success Criteria:

1.1a Produce revised DALP strategic plan which incorporates strategic vision and core values MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1b Ensure widespread sharing of the draft plan and involvement at all levels of the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1c Share the plan routinely and widely through the regular ACEO blog

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



Objective 2: Review our DALP growth strategy and meet agreed growth targets for 2017-2018

Success Criteria:

2.1a Produce revised DALP growth strategy

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1b Ensure widespread agreement to the revised growth strategy

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1c Share the growth strategy routinely and widely through the regular ACEO blog

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1d Meet agreed MAT growth targets for 2017-2018

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 3: Complete our 'deep dive' into diversity - ensuring its strength and depth across the MAT

Success Criteria:

3.1a Produce revised DALP diversity definition

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1b Ensure widespread agreement to the revised definition

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1c Share the revised definition of diversity routinely and widely through the regular ACEO blog

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 4: Secure strong foundations for 'DALP 2' and 'DALP 3'

Success Criteria:

4.1a Review financial leadership and management (quality and structure) capacity



MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1b Review business leadership and management (quality and structure) capacity

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1c Review educational leadership and management (quality and structure) capacity

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1d Use formal partnerships (with WCAT, FASNA, RSC, ISBL, other successful MATs) to assess readiness and capacity

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 5: Further strengthen and secure DALP's cluster leadership – including LAB governance

Success Criteria:

5.1a Ensure that all educational CSI evaluates cluster leadership quality

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1b Ensure that all business and corporate CSI evaluates cluster leadership quality

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1c Ensure that all CSI evaluates the quality of LAB governance across each cluster

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1d Develop systematic quality assurance of all CSI to further assess cluster leadership quality

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 6: Support, challenge and improve a 'struggling' MAT – as identified by the RSC

Success Criteria:

6.1a Agree the MAT, and the CSI parameters, with the RSC



6.1b Ensure that all business and corporate CSI for the partner MAT is top drawer

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1c Ensure that all educational CSI for the partner MAT is top drawer

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1d Formally evaluate the impact of the partnership - on the MAT and on DALP

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 7: Establish and secure the DALP ILPD - ensuring successful corporate leadership and management CPD and succession planning

Success Criteria:

7.1a Finalise and agree the DALP ILPD vision, structure, budget (2017-2018) and organogram

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1b Ensure the ILPD CPD programmes are fully developed across the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1c Ensure that ILPD activities support and enhance DALP's succession planning

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1d Develop robust QA and evaluation for all ILPD activities

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1e Develop close, effective ILPD relationships with partners – including the DALP TSA

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 8: Review and develop the PA function across the group – necessary to ensure the most effective, and cost-effective, executive support for DALP leaders



Success Criteria:

8.1a Finalise and agree a PA review structure – across education, business and corporate function

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1b Evaluate and then share the review findings

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1c Implement the review findings

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 9: Revise DALP TPPs across education, business and financial teams

Success Criteria:

9.1a Evaluate current TPPs in all three teams

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1b Create revised TPPs for all three teams - based on best practice

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1c Share the revised TPPs widely across the group

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1d Agree and formalise future TPPs review and evaluation arrangements

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



KPA 2 Summary

KPA 2	Education Directorate
Executive Leads:	Academy Performance and outcomes
Simon Jones (SJO)	Partnership and collaboration
Dave Cotton (DC)	Academy improvement and support
Neil Holmes (NHS) Cat Thornton (CT) Louise Davidson (LDA) Caroline Saxelby (CSA)	Performance management and appraisal
	Quality of education provision
	Student engagement and leadership
	Ofsted Inspection readiness/meeting Ofsted Requirements
	QA and accountability
	Continuing professional development
	Leadership development/succession planning
	Academy to Academy, School to School Support
	Sponsorship Projects
	TPPs Education

Objective 1: Expand and improve the reach and impact of the Teaching School Alliance and secure further funding to support school improvement **Lead: DC**

Success Criteria:

1.1a Growth Business model in place which ensures the future sustainablilty of the Teaching School Alliance

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1b Marketing strategy in place with clear impact success criteria for improving course attendance and revenue

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1c 'Big 3' plan in place with 3 year priorites identified and links to clear success criteria

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1d Funding secured which is focussed on school improvement

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1e The Designated Olevi Centre is a flag ship for developing best practice in the region



MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1f Hub centres have been identified and deliver quality CPD events

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 2: Ensure all academies have effective data tracking and MIS systems in place which support raising standards across all areas.

Lead: DC

Success Criteria:

2.1a Full due diligence carried out of all data and mis systems across the trust

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1b A centralised approach to collecting performance, attendance and exclusion data is in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1c CSI templates are pre-populated with relevant data where appropriate

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1d Central dashboard is in place which allows trust level analysis of performance

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1e Data teams are working effectively with all academies and ensuring efficiencies are being delivered

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1f Greater time is spent by all leaders supporting improving student outcomes rather than 'churning' data out

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 3: Ensure that the use of Alternative Curriculum/Provision is used strategically and appropriately across DALP academies

Lead: NHS (PKN)

Success Criteria:

3.1a Produce a DALP definition of outliers that can used to define pupils who need an alternative provision beyond the normal school environment MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



3.1b All DALP academies have a clear and robust response to the Ofsted 'off-roll' definition

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1c All internal and external provision used by DALP academies is quality assured and meets required educational and safeguarding requirements MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1d All DALP pupils with an alternative provision have an individual plan and are tracked at CSI meetings

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 4: Develop the DAPA initiative into a working model within DALP

Lead: NHS

Success Criteria:

4.1a Continue to explore with the RSC the use of the former vision studio school as a PLAN A for DAPA central hub

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1b If 4.1a is not successful develop a plan based upon existing infrastructure to present to DALP trust board

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1c Bring all DALP students with external provision under the DAPA provision for a September 2018 start

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 5: Manage the transition of YPA and RPA into DALP

Lead: NHS

Success Criteria:

5.1a Ensure legalities and transfer is complete for an October 2017 conversion date

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1b Set up and ensure DALP QA procedures are in place (CSI, FAR, Interim reviews)

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



5.1c Develop the capacity of the new joint LAB at YPA and RPA

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1d Ensure that the curriculum in place is delivered efficiently with the budget that has been set

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1e Work with core services to ensure the support staff structure is efficient and within the budget

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1f Represent DALP on the local SEN board to provide advice on best practice for special education

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1g Develop joint leadership capacity of YPA and RPA

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 6: Establish and secure the DALP ILRD – ensuring successful educational leadership and management CPD and succession planning

Lead: LDA

Success Criteria:

6.1a DALP ILRD vision, structure, budget and organogram are finalised

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1b CPD programs are fully developed across the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1c The ILRD activities support and enhance succession planning

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1d Research and development is at the heart of the ILRD and best practice papers form a basis for moving the organisation forward

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1e ILRD systems ensure efficient access for all to key resources across the MAT



6.1f A clear QA & review procedure, for monitoring ILRD impact, is established

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 7: Articulate more explicitly the core value of Diversity and ensure that every academy has diversity at the heart of all it does.

Lead: LDA

Success Criteria:

7.1a The diversity definition for DALP is finalised and shared

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1b What diversity 'looks like' across the MAT is agreed

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1c Academies and corporate functions undertake activities that meet DALP diversity expectations

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1d The diversity expectation both within and outwith the MAT is established

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 8: Establish close, collaborative working of cluster of primary academies to increase capacity for primary improvement leading to sustainable improvement and high quality education for all pupils

Lead: CTH

Success Criteria:

8.1a Establish clear, effective and cost efficient leadership structures in the cluster primary academies (leadership at all levels)

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1b Establish shared systems and processes enabling effective collaborative working to increase capacity in all primary academies

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1c Ensure CPD needs of each academy are fully met through collaborative approach, shared planning for development and draws on the best practice across all academies



8.1d Review budget allocations to gain cost savings through collaborative approach and use of expertise across the primary academies to improve provision

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1e Explore and deploy excess secondary capacity to increase primary capacity and further improve quality of provision

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1f Review and secure rigorous assessment systems in all primary academies to underpin school improvement

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1g Gather and review primary national updates and research outcomes and disseminate effectively to ensure all DALP members fully informed, change is managed effectively and the primary

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 9: Ensure effective function of TNGs develop and maintain high quality teaching and learning across all DALP academies

Lead: CSA

Success Criteria:

9.1a All academies/clusters are represented and contribute to the organisation and development of TNGs

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1b TNGs identify and agree areas for improvement in T, L & A across DALP academies and successful strategies are implemented to address these. TNGs work in partnership focussing on new Key Stage 4&5 specifications.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1c All academies engaged in an activity to strengthen T, L & A – impact is regularly reviewed and measured

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1d Best practice is disseminated throughout DALP, using DALP professional development days and presentations to ELG

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1e TNGs publish complete collection of Best Practice Papers for all academies



9.1f Appropriate TNG Leads contribute to DALP Strategy Groups and Teams

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 10: All Educational Directorate Staffing resources are deployed exclusively on a needs and priority basis to maximise the impact of MAT resources.

Lead: SJO

Success Criteria:

10.1a Clear EAP action plans in place for each academy which lead to improved student outcomes.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1b EAP action plans reviewed and responds to 'in year' needs.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1c Broad themes from EAP action plans discussed and leads to collaborative planning.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1d The positive impact of EAP action plans results in successful PM cycles for EAP's.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 11: Review the entire process of Education reviews across the MAT to ensure the most effective practice facilitates academy improvement.

Lead: SJO

Success Criteria:

11.1a FAR process delivers excellent CPD.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

11.1b FAR process provides an excellent base for academy improvement.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

11.1c FAR's offer value for money and meet the need of all academies.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

11.1d Subject reviews contribute effectively to academy improvement.



MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

11.1e All internal education reviews and audits will have considered resources and academy priorities before being approved.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 12: The current CSI processes are updated to maximise efficiency whilst delivering impact in all academies.

Lead: SJO

Success Criteria:

12.1a CSI meetings use pre-populated data to reduce preparation time.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

12.1b CSI meetings incorporate Business Directorate areas on a regular basis.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

12.1c Tight principles and practice routinely scrutinised through CSI meetings and show full compliance.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

12.1d SDG impact statements highlight CSI in all academies and as a result positive impact can be noted in all academies.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

12.1e ELG routinely coordinates MAT wide CSI processes and actions that impact on student progress.

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



KPA 3 - Summary

KPA 3	Business Directorate
Executive Lead:	
Gary Corban	
(GCO)	
(GCO)	DALD business directorate structures demonstrate improvements in respect of cost officiency, compliance and

Objective 1: Ensure DALP business directorate structures demonstrate improvements in respect of cost efficiency, compliance and professional and technical 'quality'

Lead: GCO

Success Criteria:

1.1a Business Directorate QA programme in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1b VFM statements are produced on a termly/annual basis

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1c Internal Audit and Interim Review framework is in place and feeds into Academy/Corporate action plans

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

1.1d Business Directorate 'Due Diligence' identifies timely risks and transitions issues

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Human Resources

Objective 2: Improve the compliance and quality of people management practice across the organisation

Lead: SGR

Success Criteria:

2.1a Termly/Annual reports outlining the impact of people management practice

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1b Monthly/termly compliance issues being raised to Academy/Corporate leaders



2.1c Staff engagement programme is place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1d HR Strategy (2017/20) is in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1e Pay and Reward Strategy in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

2.1f Recruitment/Resourcing Strategy in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Estates & Facilities Management

Objective 3: Develop and implement the 5 year rolling development and planned maintenance strategy across the organisation

Lead: AJW

Success Criteria:

3.1a Each site has a current condition survey, costed for the next 5 years, with a 15/20 years' forecast

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1b Planned Maintenance Programme (PMP) developed and costed ready for implementation 2018/19

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1c Prioritised site specific development plans to have been produced with the stakeholders

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1d Business cases used to evaluate viability and priority of capital projects, finalised and agreed at SST

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1e No outstanding H&S/Compliance works



MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

3.1f All projects to be managed against set budgetary requirements

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 4: Develop and implement tight practice and principles for procurement, health and safety and site staffing structures

Lead: AJW

Success Criteria:

4.1a Revenue expenditure in all key areas identified, cost/value strategy established

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1b Amalgamation of site based contracts to deliver service benefits and cost reduction across the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1c Reduction of the corporate risk profile to protect the stakeholders and board

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1d Proactive management in the delivery of contracts, service levels, compliant standards and policies across the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1e Site structures are aligned with a clustered networks across multiple academies

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

4.1f Integrated systems and services to reduce impact on each academy

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Risk and Project Management

Objective 5: Develop and evaluate methodology in respect of risk and project management

Lead: KBN

Success Criteria:



5.1a Business Directorate and Academy administrative development cycle in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1b Business Risk Strategy in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1c Project management embed and review on a project by project basis

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

5.1d Reduction of the corporate risk profile to protect the stakeholders and board

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Academy Operations

Objective 6: Implement appropriate links between Academy and Corporate Structures

Lead: KBN

Success Criteria:

6.1a Revenue expenditure in all key areas identified, cost/value strategy established

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1b Amalgamation of site based contracts to deliver service benefits and cost reduction across the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1c Proactive management in the delivery of contracts, service levels, compliant standards and policies across the MAT

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1d Site structures are aligned with a clustered networks across multiple academies

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

6.1e Integrated systems and services to reduce impact on each academy



6.1f Partnership and collaboration of broader support network groups

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Information Technology

Objective 7: Develop and implement the 5 year rolling development and planned IT strategy across the organisation

Lead: PRN

Success Criteria:

7.1a Reduction of infrastructure based issues logged

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1b Corp IT Function fully resourced and embedded

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1c Minimum standard of IT equipment across all academies

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1d IT Standards developed and delivered to meet academy needs

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1e Embedded the use of collaborative working

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

7.1f Compliant security systems and DR process

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Marketing and Communications



Objective 8: Drive the implementation of the group wide 2016-19 marketing and communications strategy

Lead: VRW

Success criteria:

8.1a M&C strategy (2016-19) embedded, driving consistently high standards of communications which are principally executed 'digital by default MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1b Corporate-led M&C structure fully resourced, with appropriate mix of professional expertise embedded to broaden capabilities and capacity MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1c Data informed annual marketing plans reduce down reactive, low-value promotional work and drive up high-value campaign developments MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1d Strategic approach to web presence implemented based on a user-focused, expandable platform and which visually connects all academies as a group

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1e Framework for QA, review and development of marketing and communications activities universally understood and implemented MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1f Staff communications plan devised and delivered, with all staff able to access timely and relevant information, fostering a greater sense of group organisational culture and a shared understanding of DALP values

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

8.1g B2B marketing strategy developed and aligned to organisational growth, identifying routes to market within agreed geographical territory and which is supportive of the vision

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation

Data and Information

Objective 9: Develop and implement a consistent approach to supporting academy and corporate reporting cycles

Lead: CEL



Success Criteria:

9.1a Corporate and Academy reporting cycle in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1b Corporate and Academy data teams in place

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1c Consistent approach to capturing data

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

9.1d Reduction of satellite MIS systems (reduction in cost)

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Financial Information and management of the organisation

Objective 10: Build on the reporting cycle of 2016/2017 – ensuring robust accurate, timely information presented to key stakeholders.

Budgets, monthly management accounts and forecasts

Lead: LDM

Success Criteria:

10.1a Clear financial management year planner and deliver outputs to appropriate timescales

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1b Monthly management accounts to timetable

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1c Budgets and forecasts delivered that all understand to standard group wide format and drive improvements in the forecast accuracy

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1d Financial summaries to the Board and SST are clear and concise including clear executive summaries

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



10.1e Ensure statutory and regularity returns delivered on time to Companies' House and ESFA

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

10.1f Improve budget process in 2017/2018 in respect of timing and review meetings

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 11: Facilitate the creation and implementation of a targeted group wide cost reduction programme for 2017/2018

Lead: LDM

Success Criteria:

11.1a Clear process and recorded planned costs reductions – academies and central

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

11.1b Ensure cost reduction actions successfully implemented – academies and central

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

Objective 12: Ensure group wide financial operations are lean and robust

Lead: LDM

Success Criteria:

12.1a Clean audit reports – internal and external

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

12.1b Standardise supplier payments and records

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

12.1c Keep all reports standard and processes lean

MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET



Objective 13: Deliver high quality internal audit programme of work

Lead: LDM

Success Criteria:

13.1a Clear programme of high quality work established MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET

Evaluation:

13.1b Follow up and implement any relevant actions MET/PARTIALLY MET/NOT MET